GUIDELINES AND GUIDANCE

Practical considerations when providing palliative care to patients with neuroendocrine tumors in the context of routine disease management or hospice care

Jaydira Del Rivero[®]¹, Josh Mailman², Michael W Rabow³, Jennifer A Chan⁴, Sarah Creed⁵, Hagen F Kennecke⁶, Janice Pasieka⁷, Jennifer Zuar⁸, Simron Singh⁹ and Lauren Fishbein[®]¹⁰

¹Developmental Therapeutics Branch, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA

²NorCal CarciNET Community, Oakland, California, USA

³Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Palliative Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA

⁴Harvard Medical School, Program in Carcinoid and Neuroendocrine Tumors, Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁵Good Shepherd Community Care, Harvard Kennedy School, Natick, Massachusetts, USA

⁶Providence Cancer Institute Franz Clinic, Portland Providence Medical Center, Portland, Oregon, USA

⁷Department of Surgery, Section of General Surgery, University of Calgary, Cumming School of Medicine, Calgary, Canada

⁸Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Geriatrics and Palliative Medicine, Alpert Medical School, Providence, Rhode Island, USA

⁹Odette Cancer Centre, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

¹⁰Department of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Diabetes, Department of Biomedical Informatics, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, Colorado, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to J Del Rivero: jaydira.delrivero@nih.gov

*(J Del Rivero and J Mailman contributed equally to this work)

Abstract

This serves as a white paper by the North American Neuroendocrine Tumor Society (NANETS) on the practical considerations when providing palliative care to patients with neuroendocrine tumors in the context of routine disease management or hospice care. The authors involved in the development of this manuscript represent a multidisciplinary team of patient advocacy, palliative care, and hospice care practitioners, endocrinologist, and oncologists who performed a literature review and provided expert opinion on a series of questions often asked by our patients and patient caregivers affected by this disease. We hope this document serves as a starting point for oncologists, palliative care teams, hospice medical teams, insurers, drug manufacturers, caregivers, and patients to have a frank, well-informed discussion of what a patient needs to maximize the quality of life during a routine, disease-directed care as well as at the end-of-life.

Key Words

- neuroendocrine tumors
- palliative care
- hospice care
- end of life
- management

Endocrine-Related Cancer (2023) **30**, **e220226**

How do we define palliative care, and when should it be started?

Palliative care is a medical subspecialty that focuses on alleviating the symptoms and stress associated with serious medical illness. Palliative care is appropriate for

https://erc.bioscientifica.com https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-22-0226 © 2023 NANETS Published by Bioscientifica Ltd. Printed in Great Britain any patient with a high symptom burden at any point in the illness trajectory (Temel *et al.* 2010). It is highly individualized to the needs of the patient and family and

> This work is licensed under a Creative Commons. DownloadeAttribution to be Schemberger and the second seco

should be provided concurrently with disease-directed therapy. Palliative care is a larger approach to care and should be offered to those at any point in their cancer journey. Hospice differs from palliative care since it provides care specifically at the end of life and in a place which a patient calls home, be it a private residence or institution. Palliative care seeks to treat the whole patient by managing their physical, emotional, and psychosocial distress. All practitioners should strive to provide this type of care; however, patients with complex symptoms may benefit from referral to specialist palliative care providers (Temel et al. 2010, Zimmermann et al. 2014). This can be provided in the inpatient or outpatient setting (including at home and in the community) and involves an interdisciplinary team which may include physicians, nurses, social workers, and spiritual care providers (Ferrell et al. 2017).

Multiple trials have shown the benefit of early palliative care referrals in oncology patients; however, there are no established guidelines on when to refer patients (Temel et al. 2010). Many providers as well as the American Society of Clinical Oncology consider referral within 8 weeks of diagnosis of advanced cancer to be the ideal, based on previous randomized controlled trials in patients with lung cancer (Temel et al. 2010, Ferrell et al. 2017). Patients with neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) represent a unique population, as many have metastatic disease at presentation, yet can have prolonged survival rates for years. Since these patients can have a heavy symptom burden, a common criterion for referral to palliative care is to help manage debilitating physical symptoms such as pain, dyspnea, nausea and vomiting, diarrhea, and fatigue (Hui et al. 2016). Patients who have progressed through multiple lines of treatment may be referred for discussions to enhance their understanding of the prognosis. Palliative care referral may also be appropriate in helping patients with advanced care planning and to elicit end-of-life preferences care to ensure that all treatments are consistent with a patient's individual goals of care (Hui et al. 2016, Bakitas et al. 2015).

How do we define hospice, and when should it be started?

For this paper, we will use the American Medicare definition of hospice. According to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), hospice care is defined as care that is provided when a patient's hospice doctor and primary doctor (if the patient has one) both certify that

© 2023 NANETS Published by Bioscientifica Ltd. Printed in Great Britain the patient has a terminal diagnosis with a prognosis of 6 months or less to live if the illness progresses in its normal course. Once a patient has elected the Medicare hospice benefit, hospice should cover all care related to the patient's terminal illness in the United States. This includes, but is not limited to, care by hospice-trained clinicians such as nurses, doctors, medical social workers, prescription drugs related to the hospice diagnosis, and medical equipment and supplies. The North America Neuroendocrine Tumor Society (NANETS), as a North American organization, recognizes that hospice care in Canada and Mexico (and in other countries in the world) may be defined differently. Additionally, some private insurances have alternative hospice models, which may allow for carve-outs of specific treatments or early election of the hospice benefit. Despite this, according to the National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization (NHPCO), most hospice patients in the United States are Medicare beneficiaries.

Studies have shown that early commencement of hospice leads to better symptom control and improved outcomes for patients and their caregivers (Cheraghlou et al. 2017). In many cases, patients live longer when on hospice than if continuing with traditional treatment (Connor 2007, Connor et al. 2007). For the patient's caregivers, hospice provides support and resources from an interdisciplinary team including respite services when needed. Earlier hospice allows for relationship building between the hospice team, patient, and caregivers, enabling the hospice services to deliver continuous support both during the end-of-life event and for 13 months after the patient's death in the form of bereavement counseling. The biggest complaint that families shared in the Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Survey is that they wished that hospice had started sooner rather than later in their loved one's disease process, according to CMS. Seeing as Medicare states that a beneficiary can receive hospice services when two doctors certify that the patient has 6 months or less to live if the patient's disease follows its normal course, it is the patient's right to receive this benefit as close to 6 months prior to death as possible.

Hospice is limited to palliative treatments. For patients with NET at the end of life, starting hospice traditionally has meant forgoing treatments directed at the disease. However, NET treatments such as somatostatin analogs (SSAs) may be the most effective palliative treatments available and efforts are being made to support hospices to cover the cost and assist with the administration of such palliative treatments.



Palliative care for patients living with neuroendocrine tumors

In general, palliative treatments from simple medications to complex interventional radiology procedures are used similarly in patients with NETs as they are for patients with non-NET cancers. In patients with NET receiving palliative care, commonly used medications for symptomatic treatment, integrative treatments, procedures for stenting and drainage, and NET-directed treatments (treating symptoms at the source) are listed in Table 1.

The indication for palliative interventions in NET patients is to improve the quality of life without respect for other goals of care, such as disease treatment or management (Radbruch et al. 2020). Except at the end of life, palliative interventions typically are offered concurrently with disease-directed treatment. Generally, they are provided in the same doses and at the same intensity as those used for patients with non-NET cancers. Importantly, the principles underlying their use are similar across palliative populations: patient decision-making based on whether the benefits of treatments outweigh their burdens; an alignment of clinical and advance care with patient values, preferences, and goals (this includes advance care planning) (Sudore et al. 2017); in addition to the physical consideration of the emotional, relational, and spiritual aspects of care; the principle of 'double effect' (an undesired but known complication, up to and including death, of a treatment given to benefit a patient is acceptable ethically) (Potter et al. 2021); symptoms derived from the disease or its treatment; the use of time-limited trials; a preference to achieve multiple goals with a single intervention; and recognition that the best treatment for most symptoms is the effective treatment of the underlying disease.

For patients with NETs in particular, however, there are several special considerations around the use of palliative treatments (Singh *et al.* 2017). First, the acuity vs chronicity of the underlying NET may impact decision-making regarding palliative interventions. The assessment of the burden and risks of treatments may vary between those with aggressive disease with limited life expectancy compared with those with indolent disease expected to live many years or decades with indolent NET (Hui & Bruera 2020, Mo *et al.* 2021). For some patients with particularly aggressive NETs (life expectancy of months to a year or two), palliative care needs are very similar to those with other aggressive, life-threatening cancers. As such, many of the interventions and their intensity are similar. However, for some patients, their diagnosis of NET may be a long-term,

nearly chronic challenge, with palliative interventions limited by the reality of the cost/benefit consideration. For example, opioids are typically not indicated for chronic pain due to the risks of endocrinologic complications, opioid misuse, and concerns about the efficacy of these analgesics for long-term pain. As a result of these challenges, opioids may not be indicated for pain in the setting of long-term NET (Dowell et al. 2016). However, for severe symptoms, opioids may become a mainstay of palliative treatment, especially in those with aggressive NET disease likely to cause the death of the patient before significant complications can accumulate. Second, given that diarrhea is a major burdensome symptom for many patients with NETs (including due to carcinoid syndrome, cholecystectomy, partial colonic resection, and/or pancreatic insufficiency), the negative side effect of opioids as analgesics for people with non-NET cancers (i.e. constipation), often becomes a positive side effect for people with NETs complicated by both diarrhea and pain (Stanciu & Gnanasegaram 2017). Analgesic doses of opioids may become a major component of an antidiarrheal regimen. Third, a number of symptoms seen commonly in patients with NET secondary to hormonal secretion are relatively rare in patients with other cancers, including flushing, hypertension, hypoglycemia, and symptomatic nutritional deficiencies.

Indications for the use of SSAs in palliative care and end-of-life care

Most well-differentiated NETs express high levels of somatostatin receptors. SSAs (SSAs), including octreotide and lanreotide, bind to somatostatin receptors and can reduce hormone secretion and slow tumor growth (Modlin et al. 2010). In a study by Kvols et al. and Fisher et al., the efficacy of SSAs for the treatment of carcinoid syndrome was first noted with short-acting octreotide at a dose of 150 µg subcutaneously three times per day; 88% of patients experienced an improvement in flushing and diarrhea, and 72% achieved a reduction in serotonin secretion as measured by reduction in urinary levels of its breakdown product 5-hydroxyindolacetic acid (5-HIAA) (Kvols et al. 1986, Fisher et al. 2018). Effective long-acting formulations of octreotide and lanreotide have been developed and have eliminated the need for many patients to self-administer daily injections (Rubin et al. 1999, Pavel et al. 2017, Fisher et al. 2018). Octreotide long-acting release (LAR) is administered as an i.m. injection every 4 weeks, and lanreotide depot is administered as a deep subcutaneous injection every four weeks. Subcutaneous short-acting

https://erc.bioscientifica.com https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-22-0226 © 2023 NANETS Published by Bioscientifica Ltd. Printed in Great Britain Table 1 Selected commonly used medications, integrative treatments, procedures, and NET-directed treatments in people with symptom-related NET.

	Intervention	Typical indication in people with NET
Treatment type (✓ if relatively specific to palliation of NET)		
Symptom management with medications	Opioids	Pain
	Co-analgesics (e.g. gabapentin, pregabalin, SNRIs, TCAs)	Pain, neuropathic pain
	Stimulants (e.g. methylphenidate, modafinil)	Fatigue
	Antidepressants (Nobels <i>et al.</i> 2016): (e.g. SNRIs (venlafaxine, duloxetine), SSRIs, mirtazapine)	Depression
	Anxiolytics (e.g. ativan)	Anxiety
V	Carcinoid syndrome management medications: somatostatin analogs (Modlin <i>et al.</i> 2010): (e.g. octreotide sq/IM, lanreotide IM), telotristat (Pavel <i>et al.</i> 2018)	Diarrhea
J	Ursodiol	Diarrhea from bile acid malabsorption
/	Pancreatic enzyme replacement	Diarrhea from pancreatic insufficiency
	General anti-diarrheal (e.g. loperamide, diphenoxylate & atropine, tincture of opium)	Diarrhea
	Anti-emetics (e.g. ondansetron, prochlorperazine, olanzapine, mirtazapine)	Nausea & vomiting
✓	Appetite stimulants (e.g. mirtazapine) Gut antibiotics (e.g. rifaximin)	Anorexia, weight loss Gl distress from bacterial overgrowth
	Bronchodilators	Wheezing
Integrative practices	Acupuncture	Pain, nausea, fatigue
	American ginseng Medical cannabis (THC strain especially for appetite stimulation; CBD strain especially for anxiety, insomnia, and neuropathic pain)	Fatigue Anorexia, nausea, anxiety, pain
	Massage	Pain
	lce/heat	Pain
	Relaxation	Pain, anxiety
,	Exercise	Fatigue, pain
<i>√</i>	NET nutritional interventions (Artale <i>et al.</i> 2020): avoiding amines and serotonergic foods; supplementing for vitamin deficiencies	Carcinoid syndrome or malabsorption symptoms
Palliative procedures	Surgery	Debulk or remove sites of tumor-causing symptoms
	Paracentesis	Ascites
	Thoracentesis	Pleural effusions
	Biliary stenting	Hepatic obstruction
	Gastric decompression (e.g. with nasogastric tube)	Partial small bowel obstruction
NET-directed treatments (management of disease-causing symptoms)	Chemotherapy (e.g. capecitabine, temozolomide, 5-fluorouracil, streptozocin, doxorubicin)	Pain, diarrhea
	Targeted therapy (e.g. everolimus (mTOR inhibitor), sunitinib (tyrosine kinase inhibitor))	Pain, diarrhea
	Immunotherapy (e.g. interferon alfa-2b)	Pain, diarrhea
<i>.</i>	Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT)	Pain, diarrhea
	Radiation	Pain, diarrhea
	Surgery (Goretzki <i>et al.</i> 2018, Hallet <i>et al.</i> 2021)	Pain, diarrhea
J	Radiofrequency ablation (RFA)	Pain
✓	Hepatic artery embolization	Pain

SNRI, selective serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors; TCA, tricyclic antidepressants.



octreotide can be administered to improve breakthrough symptoms of carcinoid syndrome or in situations when long-acting SSAs are not available (Pavel *et al.* 2017).

In addition to their antisecretory effects, octreotide and lanreotide can slow tumor progression. The antiproliferative effects of SSAs were demonstrated in two phase III trials. In the PROMID trial, octreotide LAR 30 mg was associated with an improvement in time to disease progression compared to placebo in patients with advanced midgut NET (Rinke et al. 2009). In the CLARINET trial, lanreotide depot was associated with improvement in progression-free survival compared to placebo in patients with advanced gastrointestinal and pancreatic NETs (Caplin et al. 2014). Due to their efficacy, ease of administration, and tolerability, SSAs are generally considered as first-line therapeutic option in well-differentiated gastroenteropancreatic NETs (GEP-NETs). The role of continuing SSAs after disease progression is debatable (Strosberg et al. 2017, Halfdanarson et al. 2020). The role of continuing SSAs after disease progression in those patients with nonfunctional NET is more controversial; generally, most physicians recommend SSAs can be discontinued in situations when treatment is no longer providing disease control or clinical benefit. For patients with functional NETs, SSAs are typically continued to minimize hormone secretion and hormone-related symptoms. Importantly, for patients receiving end-of-life care (often in hospice), continuing either long-acting formulations of SSAs or short-acting octreotide subcutaneously are appropriate strategies for minimizing hormone-related symptoms and optimizing the quality of life.

Management of diarrhea for palliative care and end-of-life

Determining the underlying cause of diarrhea in patients with NETs is critical because diarrhea can be related to other causes, such as pancreatic insufficiency related to prior pancreatic resection or SSA therapy, effects of bowel resection, bile-acid induced diarrhea, or other gastrointestinal issues (Eads *et al.* 2020). Therefore, it is essential to exclude or treat these causes of diarrhea. In addition, some patients with carcinoid syndromeassociated diarrhea may have symptoms that become refractory to SSA over time. An option in this situation includes adding telotristat ethyl, an oral inhibitor of serotonin synthesis. In the phase III TELESTAR clinical trial, patients with carcinoid syndrome experiencing four or more bowel movements per day (BMs/day) while on SSAs were randomized to receive either telotristat ethyl

https://erc.bioscientifica.com https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-22-0226

© 2023 NANETS Published by Bioscientifica Ltd. Printed in Great Britain or placebo. Telotristat ethyl resulted in a 42–44% mean reduction in daily bowel movements compared with placebo (Kulke *et al.* 2017). Additional strategies to improve carcinoid syndrome diarrhea have included increasing the dose or frequency of SSAs, addition of short-acting subcutaneous octreotide for breakthrough symptoms, and use of antidiarrheal therapies including loperamide, diphenoxylate-atropine, deodorized tincture of opium, or other nonspecific medications.

Nutrition and metabolism are altered in many patients with GEP-NETs. Among patients with carcinoid syndrome, the risk of malnutrition is due to reduced food intake, food intolerance, malabsorption, and diarrhea (Artale *et al.* 2020, Laing *et al.* 2020). These complications can impact patients' quality of life and functioning. Fat-soluble vitamins and niacin deficiency exist among patients with NET, particularly those on treatment with SSAs (Bouma *et al.* 2016, Lind *et al.* 2016). For patients with diarrhea, a low fiber/low residue diet with small, frequent meals is an important management component. Some food, such as amine-rich substances, can trigger carcinoid syndrome and should be avoided. The involvement of a nutritionist to assess individual needs and requirements regarding nutritional supplementation is recommended.

Management of pain for palliative care and end of life

Pain is a common symptom in NETs, experienced by about half of the patients, and can have a debilitating effect on the quality of life (Wolin et al. 2017, Hallet et al. 2019b). All NET patients should continually undergo comprehensive assessment to look for reversible causes of discomfort and whenever possible patient management should be tailored based on the underlying cause (Jin et al. 2018). Treatment of pain in NETs is similar to other advanced cancers and has traditionally been guided by the WHO analgesic ladder (Anekar & Cascella 2023). Non-opioid analgesics such as acetaminophen (used with caution in patients with high liver burden) or ibuprofen are appropriate for mild to moderate pain (WHO 2019). Most cancer patients will require pain management therapy using a strong opioid such as morphine, oxycodone, or hydromorphone for moderate to severe pain (Chapman et al. 2020). The selection of opioids will depend on a patient's comorbidities as well as preferences around goals of care. Patients with dysphagia, intractable nausea, vomiting, or diarrhea may prefer a non-oral route. Transdermal fentanyl is one option; however, it is temperature-dependent and highly lipophilic, requiring adequate adipose tissue

for absorption. It may not be an appropriate choice in febrile or cachectic patients at the end of life. Continuous subcutaneous administration of a parenteral opioid such as morphine or hydromorphone through a pump may be preferable for these patients (WHO 2019). Regardless of the choice of opioid or route of administration, it is essential to assess patient response after initiation of a pain regimen and adjust as patients progress through their illness.

Management of functional neuroendocrine tumors for palliative care and end of life

Patients with metastatic pheochromocytoma/ paraganglioma (mPPGL) tumors can have continuous high secretion of catecholamines and metanephrines. These hormones cause difficulty to control hypertension, especially in the setting of widespread metastatic disease. Although physicians may be less concerned about potential cardiovascular events at the end of life, hypertensive urgency can lead to discomfort for patients causing severe headaches, in particular. In addition, the high catecholamines/metanephrines can lead to severe debilitating orthostasis, diaphoresis, palpitations, flushing, and can lead to gastrointestinal ileus and/or severe constipation, which may require palliative surgical intervention (Fishbein et al. 2021). When discussing palliative care and end-of-life care, consideration should be given to the treatment of these symptoms for those with functional mPPGL, including potentially inhibiting catecholamine production with metyrosine or blocking effects of catecholamines with phenoxybenzamine or other alpha-adrenergic blockers.

The most common functional pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (PNETs) are insulinomas associated with hypoglycemia with symptoms of confusion, diaphoresis, syncope and even hastened death from stroke or MI. Although rarer, other functional PNETs can have profound symptoms, such as gastrinomas (associated with Zollinger-Ellison's syndrome (ZES) with multiple peptic ulcers, diarrhea, heartburn, weight loss); somatostatinomas (associated with diabetes mellitus, cholelithiasis. diarrhea/steatorrhea); glucagonomas (associated with weight loss, skin rash (necrolytic migratory ervthema), glucose intolerance/diabetes); VIPomas (associated with profound watery diarrhea, hypokalemia, hypochlorhydria or achlorhydria, abdominal pain). The symptoms from these functional tumors can severely limit patient functional status and can be difficult for palliative care treatment and at the end of life for caregivers and patients to maintain hygiene and prevent infection.

Furthermore, NETs of any kind (GI, pancreatic, lung, pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma) also can make ectopic hormones such as adrenocorticotropic hormone, leading to Cushing's Syndrome with associated severe hypertension, abnormal weight gain, hyperglycemia, hypercoagulability, or parathyroid hormone-related peptide leading to severe hypercalcemia. All these various functional NETs diminish the quality of life and require treatment in the palliative care setting, as well as end of life, depending on the severity. For example, SSAs and other medications for profound diarrhea may be necessary even at the end of life for those with several functional PNETs. In patients with symptoms related to ZES, proton pump inhibitors (omeprazole, pantoprazole) may be needed for patient comfort. Management of severe hypoglycemia related to insulinoma at end of life, besides SSAs, glucocorticoids such as dexamethasone, boluses of IV D50W or glucagon IV or IM may be given (Gonzalez et al. 2015, Kok & Lee 2016). Furthermore, diazoxide is used to manage hypoglycemia by inhibiting insulin secretion; however, it can cause significant edema and it may require the use of loop diuretics (Goode et al. 1986, Gill et al. 1997, Hirshberg et al. 2005).

Management of anxiety and depression related to hormonal syndromes for palliation and at the end of life

Symptoms of anxiety and depression are normal given the uncertainties of living with NETs at all stages, including at the end of life. In patients with small intenstine (SI) NET, the prevalence of depression and anxiety is 50 and 35%, respectively. These symptoms may be due to various causes, including the release of biogenic amines (5-HIAA) (Oberg 2012, Mota *et al.* 2016). In addition to the management of hormone excess with SSA (or other medications for other functional NETs), first-line antidepressants such as SSRIs appear safe in NET patients with and without carcinoid syndrome (Hemminki & Li 2001, Nobels *et al.* 2016, Isenberg-Grzeda *et al.* 2018).

La Salvia *et al.* study showed that mood disturbances, including depression and anxiety, psychoses, cognitive impairment, and sleeping alterations, are reported in NET patients, especially in patients with carcinoid symptoms, and negatively impact health-related quality of life and are associated with reduced survival rates (La Salvia *et al.* 2021). Pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas also are associated with a higher risk of anxiety and depression (Jia *et al.* 2021). The principal cause is the dysfunction of the noradrenergic system (Mineur *et al.* 2018). Consequently,

© 2023 NANETS Published by Bioscientifica Ltd. Printed in Great Britain



early medical intervention to achieve remission of the symptoms can improve the patient's physical and mental well-being.

Management of mesenteric fibrosis for palliation and at the end of life

Mesenteric fibrosis (MF) can affect patients with SI-NETs. Many SI-NETs either present or develop mesenteric lymph node metastases (despite often having a small primary tumor of <1cm). The lymph node metastasis can grow silently and often induce MF in the surrounding tissue of the mesentery. SI-NETs can release several growth factors and vasopeptides that can cause various symptoms such as flushing and diarrhea but can also cause tissue damage such as carcinoid heart disease and fibrosis leading to MF. MF may occur in up to 50% of SI-NETs with encasement of prominent blood vessels such as the superior mesenteric vessels (Ohrvall et al. 2000, Druce et al. 2010). MF can create contraction and tethering of bowel loops, obstruction, intussusception, and possibly ischemia. This can produce severe pain and discomfort (especially postprandial), diarrhea, ascites, malabsorption, and malnutrition, as well as ischemic-related complications. MF can significantly impact the quality of life of patients with NETs and especially at the end of life. Unfortunately, it is not welldiagnosed or recognized in many patients with SI-NET (Koumarianou et al. 2020).

Surgical management remains the mainstay for the treatment of MF in those with SI-NETs. Locoregional surgery can provide significant symptomatic relief. Surgery should be considered even in the presence of metastatic disease. Although surgery may lead to symptomatic relief, if done early, may result in a survival advantage (Koumarianou *et al.* 2020). It should be noted surgery may be difficult in cases involving the mesenteric vessels. When palliation and symptomatic relief is the solitary goal of care (e.g. at the end of life), attention should be paid to minimally invasive techniques such as laparoscopic surgery where possible in order to reduce the impact of the surgery on the patient with minimal recovery time (Hallet *et al.* 2021).

SSAs are known to exhibit anti-tumor activity on SI-NETs. Additionally, SSAs may reduce vasoactive peptides such as 5-HT and result in symptomatic relief and delayed onset of fibrosis (Koumarianou *et al.* 2020). SSA use may not only delay the onset of MF, but it may prevent its worsening and lead to symptomatic improvement. Therefore, SSAs are an important part of palliation for SI-NET patients with MF.

Other treatments for NETs, including telotristat ethyl, molecularly targeted agents, and peptide receptor radionuclide therapy, may delay the onset of MF. Still, their role in the acute symptomatic relief of patient symptoms at the end of life is unknown. Currently, SSA use (shortacting and long-acting) remain the mainstay for palliative symptom improvement in end-of-life treatment for patients with SI-NET.

Management of hormone-related swelling and edema for palliation and at the end of life

Swelling and edema in patients with NETs may be related to uncontrolled hormonal secretion (Vinik et al. 2000). Still, other causes should be considered, including hypoalbuminemia status due to malabsorption, volume overload related to advanced carcinoid heart disease, and venous compression due to bulky retroperitoneal nodes. Ascertainment of the correct diagnosis is critical for optimal management. Diagnostic investigations include albumin/pre-albumin level, 5-HIAA, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide, (NT-proBNP), serum serotonin, cardiac echocardiogram with a careful view of tricuspid and pulmonary valves and multiphase CT of the abdomen and pelvis to rule out venous compression. If an uncontrolled carcinoid heart disease is suspected, judicious use of diuretics is recommended as right-sided heart failure is a pre-load dependent state, and patients are at risk of hypotension (Bernheim et al. 2007). Cardiology consultation should be considered.

Edema and swelling-related hormonal excess may occur in the setting of progressive or increasingly functional tumors, and medical management should be maximized if tumor debulking with surgery or liverdirected therapy is not possible. Dose escalation of longacting SSA therapy has been shown to improve symptom control and is an appropriate first-line therapy, as is the use of short-acting octreotide (Al-Efraij et al. 2015). Use of the telotristat which improves carcinoid syndromerelated diarrhea is also helpful in a significant reduction in other carcinoid syndrome symptoms as high serotonin may be a contributor to swelling and edema (Pavel et al. 2018). Judicious use of diuretics may be considered with caution to avoid hypovolemia as patients are at risk of hypotension-related vasoactive amine release. Subcutaneous interferon-alpha has been shown to palliate advanced carcinoid syndrome, including swelling. It is associated with hematologic toxicities and flu-like side effects and may be considered for refractory patients (Shah & Caplin 2005).

Indications for palliative surgery

For many patients suffering from NETs, surgical intervention is by definition, palliative (i.e. to improve symptoms and quality of life, but not necessarily effect a cure). Although surgical eradication of the disease is possible, many patients present with stage IV disease and as such, surgical cure is not possible (Goretzki et al. 2018, Koea & Commonwealth Neuroendocrine Tumour Research Collaborative Surgical 2021, Niederle et al. 2021). Depending on the health and well-being of the patient at the time of presentation, many patients with unresectable diseases are suitable for noncurative surgery to treat symptoms. The issue of surgery near the end of life for patients with NETs is complex and highly selective. As with all palliative measures, the utilization of surgical intervention for improvement in symptoms must be balanced against the biological aggressiveness of disease and quality of remaining life. In a recent study, Hallet et al found moderate to serve symptoms of tiredness, loss of appetite, shortness of breath, nausea, and pain in NET patients during their last 6 months of life. Many of these symptoms steeply increased, especially in the last 8 weeks of life, providing for the first time some insight into what we as caregivers need to address in the terminal months of a NET patient's life (Hallet et al. 2019a).

Although NETs are diverse in their biological aggressiveness and symptom presentations, there are a few generalizable rules for the role of palliative procedures by interventional radiology, gastroenterology, and surgery near the end of life.

- A. Symptomatic relief from fluid accumulation with percutaneous thoracentesis and paracentesis of pleural effusions and ascites should be utilized whenever possible.
- B. Surgical resection, bypass, or diversion (ostomy formation) or endoscopic stenting of intraluminal tumors causing obstruction can be considered. These interventions, however, are highly selective and should not be utilized in the face of diffuse peritoneal disease or multiple sites of obstruction.
- C. Biliary stenting for common bile duct obstruction can provide relief of symptoms arising from jaundice. Although commonly utilized for adenocarcinoma of the pancreas, there is limited data on pancreatic NETs (Boulay & Parepally 2014).
- D. Potential relief of intestinal ischemia and venous stasis of the intestine with Superior Mesenteric Vein (SMV) stenting has been reported, yet it is highly selective (Daskalakis *et al.* 2017). In a small series of 20 patients with SMV occlusion, the authors utilized

endovascular SMV stents in 12 patients with a third reporting resolution of their symptoms.

E. In the case of obstructive uropathy causing hydronephrosis from MF, percutaneous J-stents can provide excellent palliation (Daskalakis *et al.* 2017).

End-of-life consideration for patients with NETs

What distinguishes NETs from other cancers at end-of-life?

In the last past few decades, the annual incidence of NETs has continued to rise in the United States and worldwide. Dasari et al. reported that the incidence of NETs had risen from 1 in 100,000 persons per year in the 1970s to 6.98 in 100,000 persons per year in 2012 (Dasari et al. 2017). More than 12,000 new cases are diagnosed each year and approximately 125,000 people are living with these tumors. NETs are a relatively rare disease, comprising ~2% of all malignancies, making it a rare disease in the United States and other parts of the world (Oronsky et al. 2017). NETs are unique because they can produce and secrete hormones (functional NETs); however, patients can also have nonfunctional NETs. Small intestinal neuroendocrine cancers (SI-NETs) and bronchial NETs are often associated with excess serotonin secretion measured by elevation in urinary levels of its breakdown product 5-HIAA, causing profound diarrhea and organ fibrosis. Functional PNETs also occur in about 10-15% of cases, leading to various syndromes depending on the hormone secretion (Halfdanarson et al. 2020). Pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma can secrete catecholamines and metanephrines, leading to profound hypertension, hyperglycemia, and other complications.

Patients with NETs spend years searching for the correct diagnosis, and then they may spend even more time finding a specialist who understands the tumor and the symptoms it can produce. While the current NANETS and NCCN[®] (National Comprehensive Cancer Network[®]) guidelines provide guidance on the management of symptoms that these tumors can produce, there is no guidance about how to manage symptoms related to hormone excess as a patient enters hospice end-of-life care (Chan *et al.* 2018, Halfdanarson *et al.* 2020, Hope *et al.* 2020, Fishbein *et al.* 2021). Moreover, a NET patient may have difficulties finding a palliative or hospice medical team that understands their unique symptoms and how to manage them. Patients with NETs may encounter



discouragement at the most vulnerable moment in their lives due to the paucity of knowledge on managing NETrelated symptoms at end of life.

Special considerations around payment and coverage at end of life (including medications) in patients with NETs

In 2021, on average, a hospice is paid \$199.25 per patient per day for the first 60 days of the hospice benefit. After this, it is reduced to \$157.49, on average, per patient day (CMS 2021). In 2018, a hospice patient's average length of stay was 77.9 days (CMS). The medical interventions we have mentioned above cost anywhere from \$250 per day to \$1000 per day. It is clear that a hospice care organization is not funded to provide the interventions that may be needed for patients with NETs to receive the best care possible toward the end of life.

We encourage:

- Drug manufacturers to consider accommodations for patients needing these drugs while in hospice care.
- Hospice providers to reach out to drug manufacturers for patient accommodation as a patient enters hospice.
- Physicians should be encouraged to consider the benefit of those in the context of their burdens and fully and realistically explain those benefits and potential cost to their patients.

When considering surgical interventions for hospiceeligible patients, the above information about payment structure should be considered. In addition to this, hospices with high rates of revocation followed by readmission are at risk of increased scrutiny making this option unattractive. As mentioned earlier, physicians should be encouraged to consider the benefit of surgical interventions in the context of their burdens and fully and realistically explain those burdens in relation to benefits to their patients.

Summary

Patients with neuroendocrine neoplasms have unique disease-related symptoms that impact palliative care needs during routine disease management and at the end of life. There are special considerations around the use of palliative treatments when caring for patients with advanced neuroendocrine neoplasms that should be taken into account: disease biology and prognosis,

© 2023 NANETS Published by Bioscientifica Ltd. Printed in Great Britain as well as symptoms that may be related to location and burden of disease and/or hormone secretion. SSAs can palliate symptoms of hormone excess, such as flushing and diarrhea, that impact quality of life; however, there remain challenges related to cost and access to SSA therapy for patients receiving hospice care. Patients with pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma and functional pancreatic NETs also may have profound symptoms that require specific palliation during the course of their disease and at the end of life. Other issues that are unique to patients with advanced NETs include MF and bowel or biliary tract obstruction that may require intervention. Awareness of these issues and other important medical and cost issues covered in this white paper will allow well-informed discussion and multidisciplinary care to maximize the patient quality of life during routine care and at the end of life.

Declaration of interest

Hagen Kennecke received <\$5000 for participation in advisory boards for Terseara, Novartis and Natera; Janice Pasieka held seats on the medical advisory boards for Ipsen and Novartis; Simron Singh recieved honorarium from Ipsen and institutional grant from Novartis/AAA; Lauren Fishbein served as Lantheus/Azedra consultant; Josh Mailman received <\$5000 sponsorship for advisory roles from Crinetics, Rayzbio, Camurus, Ipsen, Curium, Tersera. Other authors had no conflict of interest.

Funding

This work did not receive any specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sector.

References

- Al-Efraij K, Aljama MA & Kennecke HF 2015 Association of dose escalation of octreotide long-acting release on clinical symptoms and tumor markers and response among patients with neuroendocrine tumors. *Cancer Medicine* **4** 864–870. (https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.435)
- Anekar AA & Cascella M 2023 *WHO analgesic ladder*. Treasure Island, FL, USA: StatPearls. (available at:https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/ NBK554435/)
- Artale S, Barzaghi S, Grillo N, Maggi C, Lepori S, Butti C, Bovio A, Barbarini L, Colombo A, Zanlorenzi L, *et al.* 2020 Role of diet in the management of carcinoid syndrome: clinical recommendations for nutrition in patients with neuroendocrine tumors. *Nutrition and Cancer* **74** 2–11. (https://doi.org/10.1080/01635581.2020.1838572)
- Bakitas MA, Tosteson TD, Li Z, Lyons KD, Hull JG, Li Z, Dionne-Odom JN, Frost J, Dragnev KH, Hegel MT, *et al.* 2015 Early versus delayed initiation of concurrent palliative oncology care: patient outcomes in the ENABLE III randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **33** 1438–1445. (https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2014.58.6362)
- Bernheim AM, Connolly HM, Hobday TJ, Abel MD & Pellikka PA 2007 Carcinoid heart disease. *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases* **49** 439–451. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2006.12.002)



- Boulay BR & Parepally M 2014 Managing malignant biliary obstruction in pancreas cancer: choosing the appropriate strategy. World Journal of Gastroenterology 20 9345–9353. (https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v20.i28.9345)
- Bouma G, Van Faassen M, Kats-Ugurlu G, De Vries EG, Kema IP & Walenkamp AM 2016 Niacin (vitamin B3) supplementation in patients with serotonin-producing neuroendocrine tumor. *Neuroendocrinology* **103** 489–494. (https://doi.org/10.1159/000440621)
- Caplin ME, Pavel M, Cwikla JB, Phan AT, Raderer M, Sedlackova E, Cadiot G, Wolin EM, Capdevila J, Wall L, *et al.* 2014 Lanreotide in metastatic enteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. *New England Journal of Medicine* **371** 224–233. (https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa1316158)
- Chan DL, Moody L, Segelov E, Metz DC, Strosberg JR, Pavlakis N & Singh S 2018 Follow-up for resected gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours: a practice survey of the commonwealth neuroendocrine tumour collaboration (CommNETS) and the North American neuroendocrine tumor society (NANETS). *Neuroendocrinology* **107** 32–41. (https://doi.org/10.1159/000488394)
- Chapman EJ, Edwards Z, Boland JW, Maddocks M, Fettes L, Malia C, Mulvey MR & Bennett MI 2020 Practice review: evidence-based and effective management of pain in patients with advanced cancer. *Palliative Medicine* **34** 444–453. (https://doi. org/10.1177/0269216319896955)
- Cheraghlou S, Kuo P & Judson BL 2017 Treatment delay and facility case volume are associated with survival in early-stage glottic cancer. *Laryngoscope* **127** 616–622. (https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.26259)
- Connor SR 2007 Development of hospice and palliative care in the United States. *Omega (Westport)* **56** 89–99. (https://doi.org/10.2190/om.56.1.h)
- Connor SR, Pyenson B, Fitch K, Spence C & Iwasaki K 2007 Comparing hospice and nonhospice patient survival among patients who die within a three-year window. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management* 33 238–246. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2006.10.010)
- Dasari A, Shen C, Halperin D, Zhao B, Zhou S, Xu Y, Shih T & Yao JC 2017 Trends in the incidence, prevalence, and survival outcomes in patients with neuroendocrine tumors in the United States. *JAMA Oncology* **3** 1335–1342. (https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.0589)
- Daskalakis K, Karakatsanis A, Stalberg P, Norlen O & Hellman P 2017 Clinical signs of fibrosis in small intestinal neuroendocrine tumours. *British Journal of Surgery* **104** 69–75. (https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.10333)
- Dowell D, Haegerich TM & Chou R 2016 CDC guideline for prescribing opioids for chronic pain - United States, 2016. *MMWR*. *Recommendations and Reports: Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. Recommendations and Reports* **65** 1–49. (https://doi.org/10.15585/ mmwr.rr6501e1)
- Druce MR, Bharwani N, Akker SA, Drake WM, Rockall A & Grossman AB 2010 Intra-abdominal fibrosis in a recent cohort of patients with neuroendocrine ('carcinoid') tumours of the small bowel. *QJM* **103** 177–185. (https://doi.org/10.1093/qjmed/hcp191)
- Eads JR, Reidy-Lagunes D, Soares HP, Chan JA, Anthony LB, Halfdanarson TR, Naraev BG, Wolin EM, Halperin DM, Li D, *et al.* 2020 Differential diagnosis of diarrhea in patients with neuroendocrine tumors. *Pancreas* **49** 1123–1130. (https://doi. org/10.1097/MPA.00000000001658)
- Ferrell BR, Temel JS, Temin S, Alesi ER, Balboni TA, Basch EM, Firn JI, Paice JA, Peppercorn JM, Phillips T, *et al.* 2017 Integration of palliative care into standard oncology care: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline update. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **35** 96–112. (https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2016.70.1474)
- Fishbein L, Del Rivero J, Else T, Howe JR, Asa SL, Cohen DL, Dahia PLM, Fraker DL, Goodman KA, Hope TA, et al. 2021 The North American Neuroendocrine Tumor Society Consensus Guidelines for Surveillance and Management of Metastatic and/or unresectable pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma. Pancreas 50 469–493. (https://doi.org/10.1097/MPA.000000000001792)
- Fisher GA, Wolin EM, Liyanage N, Pitman Lowenthal S, Mirakhur B, Pommier RF, Shaheen M, Vinik A & ELECT Study Group 2018 Patient-

© 2023 NANETS Published by Bioscientifica Ltd. Printed in Great Britain reported symptom control of diarrhea and flushing in patients with neuroendocrine tumors treated with lanreotide depot/autogel: results from a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind and 32-week open-label study. *Oncologist* **23** 16–24. (https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2017-0284)

- Gill GV, Rauf O & Macfarlane IA 1997 Diazoxide treatment for insulinoma: a national UK survey. *Postgraduate Medical Journal* **73** 640–641. (https://doi.org/10.1136/pgmj.73.864.640)
- Gonzalez F, Roshan R & Levene RS 2015 Hypoglycemia management in nondiabetic adults at the end of life #291. *Journal of Palliative Medicine* **18** 552–553. (https://doi.org/10.1089/jpm.2015.1032)
- Goode PN, Farndon JR, Anderson J, Johnston ID & Morte JA 1986 Diazoxide in the management of patients with insulinoma. *World Journal of Surgery* **10** 586–592. (https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01655532)
- Goretzki PE, Mogl MT, Akca A & Pratschke J 2018 Curative and palliative surgery in patients with neuroendocrine tumors of the gastro-enteropancreatic (GEP) tract. *Reviews in Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders* **19** 169–178. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11154-018-9469-9)
- Halfdanarson TR, Strosberg JR, Tang L, Bellizzi AM, Bergsland EK, O'dorisio TM, Halperin DM, Fishbein L, Eads J, Hope TA, *et al.* 2020 The North American neuroendocrine tumor society consensus guidelines for surveillance and medical management of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. *Pancreas* **49** 863–881. (https://doi. org/10.1097/MPA.000000000001597)
- Hallet J, Davis LE, Mahar AL, Isenberg-Grzeda E, Bubis LD, Myrehaug S, Zhao H, Beyfuss K, Moody L, Law CHL, *et al.* 2019a Symptom burden at the end of life for neuroendocrine tumors: an analysis of 2579 prospectively collected patient-reported outcomes. *Annals of Surgical Oncology* 26 2711–2721. (https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-019-07441-5)
- Hallet J, Davis LE, Mahar AL, Law CHL, Isenberg-Grzeda E, Bubis LD, Singh S, Myrehaug S, Zhao H, Beyfuss K, *et al.* 2019b Patterns of symptoms burden in neuroendocrine tumors: a population-based analysis of prospective patient-reported outcomes. *Oncologist* 24 1384–1394. (https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2019-0112)
- Hallet J, Law C & Commonwealth Neuroendocrine Tumours Research Collaborative (CommNETs) Surgical Section 2021 Role of primary tumor resection for metastatic small bowel neuroendocrine tumors. *World Journal of Surgery* **45** 213–218. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-020-05727-4)
- Hemminki K & Li X 2001 Incidence trends and risk factors of carcinoid tumors: a nationwide epidemiologic study from Sweden. *Cancer* 92 2204–2210. (https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142(20011015)92:8<2204::aid-cncr1564>3.0.co;2-r)
- Hirshberg B, Cochran C, Skarulis MC, Libutti SK, Alexander HR, Wood BJ, Chang R, Kleiner DE & Gorden P 2005 Malignant insulinoma: spectrum of unusual clinical features. *Cancer* **104** 264–272. (https:// doi.org/10.1002/cncr.21179)
- Hope TA, Bodei L, Chan JA, El-Haddad G, Fidelman N, Kunz PL, Mailman J, Menda Y, Metz DC, Mittra ES, et al. 2020 NANETS/SNMMI consensus statement on patient selection and appropriate use of (177) Lu-DOTATATE peptide receptor radionuclide therapy. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine* 61 222–227. (https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.119.240911)
- Hui D & Bruera E 2020 Models of palliative care delivery for patients with cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **38** 852–865. (https://doi. org/10.1200/JCO.18.02123)
- Hui D, Meng YC, Bruera S, Geng Y, Hutchins R, Mori M, Strasser F & Bruera E 2016 Referral criteria for outpatient palliative cancer care: a systematic review. *Oncologist* **21** 895–901. (https://doi.org/10.1634/ theoncologist.2016-0006)
- Isenberg-Grzeda E, Macgregor M, Bergel A, Eagle S, Espi Forcen F, Mehta R, Matsoukas K, Wills J, Reidy-Lagunes D & Alici Y 2018 Antidepressants appear safe in patients with carcinoid tumor: results of a retrospective review. *European Journal of Surgical Oncology* **44** 744–749. (https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ejso.2018.03.010)
- Jia S, Li C, Lei Z, Xia Q & Jiang Y 2021 Determinants of anxiety and depression among pheochromocytoma patients: a case-control study.

 (\mathbf{j})

66

Medicine (Baltimore) **100** e24335. (https://doi.org/10.1097/ MD.00000000024335)

Jin XF, Spampatti MP, Spitzweg C & Auernhammer CJ 2018 Supportive therapy in gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: often forgotten but important. *Reviews in Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders* **19** 145–158. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11154-018-9443-6)

J Del Rivero, J Mailman et al.

- Koea J & Commonwealth Neuroendocrine Tumour Research Collaborative (CommNETs) Surgical Section 2021 Management of Locally Advanced and Unresectable Small Bowel Neuroendocrine Tumours. *World Journal of Surgery* 45 219–224. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-020-05740-7)
- Kok VC & Lee PH 2016 Management of hypoglycemia in nondiabetic palliative care patients: a prognosis-based approach. *Palliative Care* 10 1–5. (https://doi.org/10.4137/PCRT.S38956)
- Koumarianou A, Alexandraki KI, Wallin G, Kaltsas G & Daskalakis K 2020 Pathogenesis and clinical management of mesenteric fibrosis in small intestinal neuroendocine neoplasms: a systematic review. *Journal of Clinical Medicine* **9** 1777. (https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9061777)
- Kulke MH, Horsch D, Caplin ME, Anthony LB, Bergsland E, Oberg K, Welin S, Warner RR, Lombard-Bohas C, Kunz PL, *et al.* 2017 Telotristat ethyl, a tryptophan hydroxylase inhibitor for the treatment of carcinoid syndrome. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **35** 14–23. (https://doi. org/10.1200/JCO.2016.69.2780)
- Kvols LK, Moertel CG, O'connell MJ, Schutt AJ, Rubin J & Hahn RG 1986 Treatment of the malignant carcinoid syndrome. Evaluation of a longacting somatostatin analogue. *New England Journal of Medicine* **315** 663–666. (https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM198609113151102)
- La Salvia A, Portigliatti Pomeri A, Persano I, Trevisi E, Parlagreco E, Colombi N, Brizzi MP, Picci RL & Oliva F 2021 Serotoninergic brain dysfunction in neuroendocrine tumor patients: a scoping review. *Comprehensive Psychiatry* **109** 152244. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j. comppsych.2021.152244)
- Laing E, Kiss N, Michael M & Krishnasamy M 2020 Nutritional complications and the management of patients with gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. *Neuroendocrinology* **110** 430–442. (https://doi.org/10.1159/000503634)
- Lind A, Wängberg B & Ellegård L 2016 Vitamin D and vitamin B12 deficiencies are common in patients with midgut carcinoid (SI-NET). *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **70** 990–994. (https://doi. org/10.1038/ejcn.2016.40)
- Mineur YS, Cahuzac EL, Mose TN, Bentham MP, Plantenga ME, Thompson DC & Picciotto MR 2018 Interaction between noradrenergic and cholinergic signaling in amygdala regulates anxiety- and depression-related behaviors in mice. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **43** 2118–2125. (https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41386-018-0024-x)
- Mo L, Urbauer DL, Bruera E & Hui D 2021 Recommendations for palliative and hospice care in NCCN guidelines for treatment of cancer. *Oncologist* **26** 77–83. (https://doi.org/10.1002/ONCO.13515)
- Modlin IM, Pavel M, Kidd M & Gustafsson BI 2010 Review article: somatostatin analogues in the treatment of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine (carcinoid) tumours. *Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics* **31** 169–188. (https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2036.2009.04174.x)
- Mota JM, Sousa LG & Riechelmann RP 2016 Complications from carcinoid syndrome: review of the current evidence. *Ecancermedicalscience* **10** 662. (https://doi.org/10.3332/ecancer.2016.662)
- Niederle B, Selberherr A & Niederle MB 2021 How to manage small intestine (jejunal and ileal) neuroendocrine neoplasms presenting with liver metastases? *Current Oncology Reports* **23** 85. (https://doi. org/10.1007/s11912-021-01074-2)
- Nobels A, Geboes K & Lemmens GM 2016 May depressed and anxious patients with carcinoid syndrome benefit from treatment with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs)?: findings from a case report. *Acta Oncologica* **55** 1370–1372. (https://doi.org/10.1080/02841 86X.2016.1182210)

- Oberg KE 2012 The management of neuroendocrine tumours: current and future medical therapy options. *Clinical Oncology (Royal College of Radiologists (Great Britain)* **24** 282–293. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j. clon.2011.08.006)
- Ohrvall U, Eriksson B, Juhlin C, Karacagil S, Rastad J, Hellman P & Akerstrom G 2000 Method for dissection of mesenteric metastases in mid-gut carcinoid tumors. *World Journal of Surgery* **24** 1402–1408. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s002680010232)
- Oronsky B, MA, Ma PC, Morgensztern D & Carter CA 2017 Nothing but NET: a review of neuroendocrine tumors and carcinomas. *Neoplasia* **19** 991–1002. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neo.2017.09.002)
- Pavel M, Gross DJ, Benavent M, Perros P, Srirajaskanthan R, Warner RRP, Kulke MH, Anthony LB, Kunz PL, Horsch D, et al. 2018 Telotristat ethyl in carcinoid syndrome: safety and efficacy in the TELECAST phase 3 trial. Endocrine-Related Cancer 25 309–322. (https://doi. org/10.1530/ERC-17-0455)
- Pavel M, Valle JW, Eriksson B, Rinke A, Caplin M, Chen J, Costa F, Falkerby J, Fazio N, Gorbounova V, *et al.* 2017 Enets consensus guidelines for the standards of care in neuroendocrine neoplasms: systemic therapy - biotherapy and novel targeted agents. *Neuroendocrinology* **105** 266–280. (https://doi.org/10.1159/000471880)
- Potter J, Shields S & Breen R 2021 Palliative sedation, compassionate extubation, and the principle of double effect: an ethical analysis. *American Journal of Hospice and Palliative Care* **38** 1536–1540. (https://doi.org/10.1177/1049909121998630)
- Radbruch L, De Lima L, Knaul F, Wenk R, Ali Z, Bhatnaghar S, Blanchard C, Bruera E, Buitrago R, Burla C, et al. 2020 Redefining palliative care-A new consensus-based definition. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management* 60 754–764. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2020.04.027)
- Rinke A, Muller HH, Schade-Brittinger C, Klose KJ, Barth P, Wied M, Mayer C, Aminossadati B, Pape UF, Blaker M, *et al.* 2009 Placebocontrolled, double-blind, prospective, randomized study on the effect of octreotide LAR in the control of tumor growth in patients with metastatic neuroendocrine midgut tumors: a report from the PROMID Study Group. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **27** 4656–4663. (https://doi. org/10.1200/JCO.2009.22.8510)
- Rubin J, Ajani J, Schirmer W, Venook AP, Bukowski R, Pommier R, Saltz L, Dandona P & Anthony L 1999 Octreotide acetate long-acting formulation versus open-label subcutaneous octreotide acetate in malignant carcinoid syndrome. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **17** 600–606. (https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.1999.17.2.600)
- Shah T & Caplin M 2005 Endocrine tumours of the gastrointestinal tract. Biotherapy for metastatic endocrine tumours. *Best Practice and Research. Clinical Gastroenterology* **19** 617–636. (https://doi. org/10.1016/j.bpg.2005.02.012)
- Singh S, Granberg D, Wolin E, Warner R, Sissons M, Kolarova T, Goldstein G, Pavel M, Oberg K & Leyden J 2017 Patient-reported burden of a neuroendocrine tumor (NET) diagnosis: results from the first global survey of patients with NETs. *Journal of Global Oncology* **3** 43–53. (https://doi.org/10.1200/JGO.2015.002980)
- Stanciu CN & Gnanasegaram SA 2017 Loperamide, the "poor man's methadone": brief review. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs* **49** 18–21. (https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2016.1260188)
- Strosberg JR, Halfdanarson TR, Bellizzi AM, Chan JA, Dillon JS, Heaney AP, Kunz PL, O'dorisio TM, Salem R, Segelov E, et al. 2017 The North American neuroendocrine tumor society consensus guidelines for surveillance and medical management of midgut neuroendocrine tumors. Pancreas 46 707–714. (https://doi.org/10.1097/ MPA.000000000000850)
- Sudore RL, Lum HD, You JJ, Hanson LC, Meier DE, Pantilat SZ, Matlock DD, Rietjens JAC, Korfage IJ, Ritchie CS, *et al.* 2017 Defining advance care planning for adults: a consensus definition from a multidisciplinary Delphi panel. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management* **53** 821–832.e1. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2016.12.331)
- Temel JS, Greer JA, Muzikansky A, Gallagher ER, Admane S, Jackson VA, Dahlin CM, Blinderman CD, Jacobsen J, Pirl WF, *et al.* 2010 Early

Pownlos

66

© 2023 NANETS Published by Bioscientifica Ltd. Printed in Great Britain de This work is licensed under a Creative Commons 4 04:05:14PM

palliative care for patients with metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine* **363** 733–742. (https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa1000678)

- Vinik A, Hughes MS, Feliberti E, Perry RR, Casellini C, Sinesi M, Vingan H & Johnson L 2000 Carcinoid tumors. In Endotext. Eds KR Feingold, B Anawalt, A Boyce, G Chrousos, WW De Herder, K Dhatariya, K Dungan, JM Hershman, J Hofland, S Kalra, *et al.* South Dartmouth, MA, USA: MDText.com. (available at: https://pubmed. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25905385/)
- WHO 2019 Guidelines for the pharmacological and radiotherapeutic management of cancer pain in adults and adolescents. Geneva,

Switzerland: World Health Organization, WHO. (available at: https:// www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241550390)

- Wolin EM, Leyden J, Goldstein G, Kolarova T, Hollander R & Warner RRP 2017 Patient-reported experience of diagnosis, management, and burden of neuroendocrine tumors: results from a large patient survey in the United States. *Pancreas* **46** 639–647. (https://doi.org/10.1097/ MPA.000000000000818)
- Zimmermann C, Swami N, Krzyzanowska M, Hannon B, Leighl N, Oza A, Moore M, Rydall A, Rodin G, Tannock I, *et al.* 2014 Early palliative care for patients with advanced cancer: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* **383** 1721–1730. (https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62416-2)

Received 20 February 2023 Accepted 20 March 2023 Available online 5 April 2023 Version of Record published 21 June 2023

